

European HAR Impacts on BRP Contract

WG EMD 27/4/2015

Users' Group
Brussels
Isabelle Gerkens



EU HAR Impacts on BRP Contract

- 1. New concepts Modification of current concepts
- 2. Border issue: additional borders
- 3. Varia
- 4. Questions
- 5. Planning of BRP Contract modifications

1. New concepts – Modification of current concepts

EU HAR for explicit long term auctions:

Yearly/Monthly capacity products and allocations: FTP options **OR** LT PTR

- > To be implemented for 2016 auctions (Q4 2015)
- No nominations anymore required
- Physical or financial rights? Still to be confirmed for BE hub

Current situation: PTR with UIOSI + nominations

New concepts:

- **Bidding Zone**: used when international exchange in the BRP contract is seen with a market point of view; definition based on EU concept
- Scheduling Area: used when international exchange in the BRP contract is seen with a operational/nomination procedure point of view; definition based on current definition in GL OPS (but onder review)
- Droit de Transport Long Terme: term used in Annex 1; complex definition reflecting choice between 2 types of capacity products
- Shadow Allocation Rules: term used in Annex 1; definition based on EU concept

Impacts: Articles 1 "Definitions", 12.3 "Nominations DA / ID", Annexes 1-5



New concepts – Modification of current concepts

Why?

Current: mix physical transfert, allocations, network and nominations notions

- Clarification
- More accurate <> EU terminology

Modifications of current concepts:

- Couplage de marché: simplification of the definition
- Border: simplification of the notion; integration of Scheduling Area notion
- Import/Export, Import et/ou Export Day-ahead, Import et/ou Export Intraday: impact of new wording border
- Echange International: simplification of the notion; integration of Scheduling Area notion
- Droit Physique de Transport: clarification of the definition
- Guichet: impact of new wording border
- Règles d'Enchères Harmonisées: simplification of the definition
- Réseau de Transport Etranger Connecté: removed; use of the notion Scheduling Area



2. Border issue

- Current situation: Border North and Border South
- Near future: additional borders with Luxemburg, UK and Germany
- Solution :
 - Use of generic term of "Border": no need to be specific + future additional borders
 - Notion less used in the ARP contract => replaced by 'Scheduling Area'
 - See some definitions; Article 11.5; 12.2.2; Annexes 1 & 5
 - Scheduling Area: more accurate notion (see Annex 5: specific situations)
- Alternative: What about no more defining the obvious concept of "border"?
 Solution used in the NCs and HAR



3. Varia

- Article 9.3 : communication to auction entities (European or regional) of any suspension or withdrawal of a BRP
 - currently limited to regulatory authorities, access holders, DSOs and CDS operators
- Who is able to nominate at border?
 - See Annex 5, § 1.1: 2 situations described
- Transition period before new EU HAR
 - BRP Contract is broad enough

4. Question

 What about use of fax? To keep it in the BRP Contract as a way to contact Elia/to be contacted?

5. Planning of the modifications of the BRP Contract

- 27/4 WG EMD : explanations impacts from EU HAR
- 28/4: TF Balancing: explanations modifications needed for SDR (art. 11 12)
- 18/5 8/6 (TBC): Consultation modifications BRP Contract (via web + mailing)
 - 1/6: WG Belgian Grid meeting (presentation of modifications)
- 18/6 Users' Group Plenaire : feedback consultation
- June –July: Finalisation of modifications, translation, informal discussion with regulatory authorities
- August (at last): start of formal approval process with 2 regulatory authorities (CREG + VREG)
 - VREG: 2 months for decision
 - CREG 30 days
- Week 12/10: official information to BRPs of new version of the Contract (website, mailing)
- 1/11: Entry into force of new version of the BRP Contract; Start of the SR 15-16